

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/913,617		01/08/2002	Ingo F. Herrmann	089317-000000US	4164
20350	7590	02/18/2004		EXAMINER	
		TOWNSEND A	LEUBECKER, JOHN P		
EIGHTH FL	OOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN FRAN	CISCO, C	CA 94111-3834	3739	12	

DATE MAILED: 02/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

Applicati p No	A1:(-)		
Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)		
09/913,617	HERRMANN, INGO F.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
John P. Leubecker	3739		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover she t with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 09 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

LAGIIII	nation (102) in complication with or of the first
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) 🗵	The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
ь) [	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
have bee 37 CFR (b) above	ensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee en filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in e, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any latent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2.	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a)	☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b)	☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d)	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE:
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5.🛛	The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7.🖂	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed:
	Claim(s) objected to: <u>35,42,48,51 and 52</u> .
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>25,26,29,30,34,36-41 and 43-47</u> .
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8.	The drawing correction filed on is a)□ approved or b)□ disapproved by the Examiner.
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10.	Other:
	John Leubecker
	Primary Examiner  (Art Unit: 3739

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the cancelation of claims 27, 28, 31-33, 49 and 50 will only overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph and will NOT overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102 and 103. The Examiner maintains the position that capsule Cb anticipates the holding device including at least one permanent magnet and holding and/or guiding at least one additional instrument (forceps) relative to the fiberscope, wherein the forceps and fiberscope ar displaceable relative to one another in the longitudinal direction. Again, the Examiner must point out that the claim calls for relative movement between the fiberscope and the instrument, NOT the fiberscope and the holding device..